Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 246 (1983) C34–C36 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

BIMOLECULAR DISPLACEMENTS OF IODINE IN REACTIONS OF DIMETHYL[TRIS(TRIMETHYLSILYL)METHYL]SILYL IODIDE WITH SALTS

SAMAN A.I. AL-SHALI and COLIN EABORN*

School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ (Great Britain)

(Received February 1st, 1983)

Summary

Direct nucleophilic displacement of iodine to give $(Me_3Si)_3CSiMe_2Y$, where Y = F, NCO, NCS, CN or N₃, takes place when $(Me_3Si)_3CSiMe_2I$ is treated with solutions of CsF, KOCN, KSCN, KCN, or NaN₃ in MeOH or CH₃CN. The order of effectiveness of the nucleophiles appears to be N₃ > F > CN > NCS > NCO in MeOH and NCS > NCO > CN, F in CH₃CN.

Direct bimolecular displacement of the X groups in $TsiSiR_2X$ species ($Tsi = (Me_3Si)_3C = trisyl$) by nucleophiles is known to be very seriously inhibited by steric hindrance [1-5]. In particular, the rates of methanolysis of the $TsiSiMe_2X$ compounds with $X = OCIO_3$, OSO_2CF_3 , or I have been shown to be relatively little affected by the presence of NaOMe up to about 0.5 *M*, so that the reactions were thought to involve rate-determining ionization of the Si-X bonds [1,4,5]. We have now found, however, that with some nucleophiles, namely pseudohalide and fluoride ions, direct displacements can occur quite readily under some circumstances*.

We initially examined the reactions of TsiSiMe₂I ($7 \times 10^{-4} M$) with a large excess of NaN₃ (0.025-0.40 *M*) in MeOH, monitoring the reaction by observing the changes in the relative heights (or integrals) of the ¹H NMR peaks from the (Me₃Si)₃C protons in TsiSiMe₂I, TsiSiMe₂N₃, and TsiSiMe₂OMe. Above about 0.1 *M* NaN₃, the amount of TsiSiMe₂OMe formed was insignificant, and the observed pseudo first-order rate constant, *k*, for the disappearance of the iodide was proportional to the NaN₃ concentration; the approximate values of $10^5 k$ at 60° C at 0.10, 0.20, and 0.40 *M* salt concentration were 1.7, 3.5, and 7.0 s⁻¹,

0022-328X/83/\$03.00 © 1983 Elsevier Sequoia S.A.

^{*}It is likely that direct nucleophilic displacements are involved in reactions of $TsiSiMe_2X$ (X = Cl or Br) with Bu_4PCl in tetradecane [6].

respectively. (The proportionality applies approximately at lower NaN₃ concentrations when allowance is made for concomitant formation of TsiSiMe₂OMe; with 0.025 M NaN₃ the latter constitutes about 20% of the products). There seems no reason to doubt that these reactions involve direct bimolecular attack of N₃⁻ on TsiSiMe₂I.

The reactions of other salts MY (0.1 *M*), namely CsF, KOCN, KSCN, and KCN, with TsiSiMe₂I (7 × 10⁻⁴ *M*) in refluxing MeOH gave mixtures of TsiSiMe₂Y and TsiSiMe₂OMe. Approximate percentages of TsiSiMe₂I which had reacted after 24 h, with the approximate TsiSiMe₂Y/TsiSiMe₂OMe ratios in parentheses, were (Y =) NCO, 33 (0.6); NCS, 62 (4); CN, 70 (6); F, 92 (8). (In the absence of salt, ca. 15% of the TsiSiMe₂I reacted in 24 h). The order of effectiveness of the salts is thus: NaN₃, CsF > KCN > KSCN > KOCN.

The reactions of TsiSiMe₂I with the same salts were then examined in CH_3CN at the reflux temperature. (The CH_3CN was dried by refluxing over CaH₂, but since TsiSiMe₂I was converted into TsiSiMe₂OH in the refluxing solvent ($k = ca. 7.7 \times 10^{-6} s^{-1}$) it evidently contained a significant amount of water, perhaps as much as 0.4 M.) Sodium azide was not sufficiently soluble in this medium to permit kinetic studies, but $TsiSiMe_2N_3$ (contaminated with a little of the hydroxide) was formed when the jodide was refluxed with a suspension of the salt. Values of $10^6 k$, where k is the observed pseudo first-order constant for reactions of iodide $(7 \times 10^{-4} M)$ with 0.025, 0.05, 0.075, or 0.10 M KSCN at 35.0°C were ca. 4.0, 7.7, 11.5 and 15.5 s⁻¹, indicating that the reaction is of first-order in the salt, and thus can be assumed to involve direct bimolecular interaction. In a solution of the iodide $(7 \times 10^{-4} M)$ in CH_3CN containing no salt or 0.1 M KOCN, KSCN, KCN or CsF, after 6 h under reflux 16, 60, 100, 55 and 55%, respectively, of the iodide had reacted, the product being the TsiSiMe₂OH in the absence of salt and exclusively the $TsiSiMe_2 Y$ species (Y = NCO, NCS, CN and F) in the other cases. The order of effectiveness of the anions as nucleophiles in this medium (NCS > NCO >CN, F) is thus different from that in MeOH. The absence of hydroxide product in the presence of salts is of interest, since on the basis of the rate of its formation in the absence of the salts significant amounts of it could be expected; it is possible that the water is deactivated by being taken up in solvation of the salts.

Fluoride ion has long been known to be a very effective nucleophile towards silicon, and in view of its small size it is not surprising that it can directly displace iodine in these highly hindered systems. Since silicon has such a high affinity for oxygen, it was, however, rather surprising that pseudohalide ions should be so much more effective than methoxide ion. It is noteworthy that with these pseudohalides the attacking centre in the nucleophile in all cases is a small nitrogen or carbon atom, and this and the linear nature of the ions (and probably a very different spread of solvent molecules around the ions than that with, say, OMe^- or CI^-) may account for their relatively high activities in these crowded systems.

The reactions of the salts in CH_3CN provide satisfactory routes to the TsiSiMe₂ Y compounds with Y = CN, NCO, NCS, or F. For Y = N₃ the low solubility of the NaN₃ in CH_3CN results in contamination by the hydroxide, and the azide is better prepared by using MeOH containing a high concentra-

tion of the salt. It is noteworthy that the reaction of $TsiSiMe_2I$ with KOCN gives exclusively the isocyanate, $TsiSiMe_2NCO$, whereas the reaction with AgOCN in CH₂Cl₂ gives exclusively the normal cyanate, $TsiSiMe_2OCN$ [7].

Acknowledgment. We thank the SERC for support and the Dow Corning Co., Ltd., for gifts of chemicals.

References

- 1 C. Eaborn, J. Organomet. Chem., 239 (1982) 93.
- 2 S.S. Dua, C. Eaborn, D.A.R. Happer, S.P. Hopper, K.D. Safa, and D.R.M. Walton, J. Organomet. Chem., 178 (1979) 75; C. Eaborn, D.A.R. Happer, and K.D. Safa, ibid., 191 (1980) 355.
- 3 C. Eaborn, D.A.R. Happer, S.P. Hopper, and K.D. Safa, J. Organomet. Chem., 188 (1980) 179.
- 4 C. Eaborn and F.M.S. Mahmoud, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2, (1981) 1309.
- 5 S.A.I. Al-Shali, C. Eaborn, and F.M.S. Mahmoud, J. Organomet. Chem., 232 (1982) 215.
- 6 R. Damrauer, J. Organomet. Chem., 216 (1981) C1.
- 7 C. Eaborn, P.D. Lickiss, G. Marquina-Chidsey, and E.Y. Thorli, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1982) 1326.